

## Compatibility in D-Posets

František Kôpka<sup>1</sup>

*Received March 28, 1995*

---

In this paper the Boolean D-poset is defined and it is showed that every subset of a Boolean D-poset is a compatible set.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The basic axiomatic models of quantum mechanics are the quantum logics  $\mathcal{L}$  (Busch *et al.*, 1991) or orthoalgebras  $\mathcal{A}$  (Randall and Foulis, 1981; Foulis *et al.*, 1992). Very important in this theory is the notion of a compatible subset of  $\mathcal{L}$  (or  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively), which represents simultaneously verifiable events.

There exist alternative models of quantum mechanics, for example, F-quantum spaces (Riečan, 1988), F-quantum posets (Dvurečenskij and Chovanec, 1988), and their generalization—the quasiorthocomplemented posets (Chovanec, 1993), where the compatibility of subsets has been studied.

The compatibility of a subset of elements in these cases means that they belong to the same Boolean subalgebra which is contained in a corresponding structure, which is the case of classical mechanics.

Recently there has appeared a new axiomatic model, D-posets, introduced in Kôpka and Chovanec (1994), which generalizes quantum logics, orthoalgebras, as well as the set of all effects (Dvurečenskij, n.d.). In this model, a difference operation is a primary notion from which it is possible to derive other usual notions that are important for measurements.

D-posets have been inspired by the possibility to introduce fuzzy set ideas into quantum structure models (Kôpka, 1992). On these structures, so-called D-posets of fuzzy sets, compatibility has been studied (Kôpka, n.d.-a).

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Military Academy, 03119 Liptovský Mikuláš, Slovakia.

The aim of the present paper is to show that every subset of a so-called Boolean D-poset is a compatible set. Although the definition of a compatible subset of a D-poset is presented in such a way that for a D-poset which at the same time is a quantum logic, this notion is equivalent to the notion of compatibility in a quantum logic, we cannot say anything similar about the existence of such a Boolean subalgebra as in the case of a quantum logic. This fact calls for a new look at the compatibility in D-posets.

## 2. D-POSETS

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a nonempty partially ordered set (poset). A partial binary operation  $\setminus$  is called a *difference* on  $P$ , and an element  $b \setminus a$  is defined in  $P$  if and only if  $a \leq b$ , and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(D1) \quad b \setminus a \leq b.$$

$$(D2) \quad b \setminus (b \setminus a) = a.$$

$$(D3) \quad \text{If } a \leq b \leq c, \text{ then } c \setminus b \leq c \setminus a \text{ and } (c \setminus a) \setminus (c \setminus b) = b \setminus a.$$

Let  $(P, \leq, \setminus)$  be a poset with a difference and let  $1$  be the greatest element in  $P$ . The structure  $(P, \leq, \setminus, 1)$  is called a *D-poset*.

*Example 1.* Let  $F$  be a family of all real functions from a nonempty set  $X$  into the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\leq$  be a partial ordering on  $F$  such that  $f \leq g$  if and only if  $f(t) \leq g(t)$  for every  $t \in X$ . Let  $\Phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an injective increasing continuous function such that  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . A partial binary operation  $\setminus$  defined by the formula

$$(g \setminus f)(t) = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(g(t)) - \Phi(f(t)))$$

for every  $f, g \in F, f \leq g, t \in X$ , is the difference on  $F$ . The system  $(F; \leq, \setminus, 1(t) = 1)$  is a D-poset.

*Example 2.* Let  $(L, \leq, \perp, 1, 0)$  be an orthomodular poset (see, e.g., Pták and Pulmannová, 1991). We put  $b \setminus a = b \wedge a^\perp$  for every  $a, b \in L, a \leq b$ . Then  $L$  is a D-poset.

Let  $P$  be a D-poset. We put  $a^\perp := 1 \setminus a$  for any  $a \in P$ . We say that two elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $P$  are *orthogonal*, and write  $a \perp b$ , if  $a \leq b^\perp$  (or equivalently  $b \leq a^\perp$ ).

The properties of a D-poset (Kôpka and Chovanec, 1994) enable us to define a sum operation on  $P$ , that is, a partial binary operation  $\oplus$  on  $P$  (Dvurečenskij, n.d.; Hedlíková and Pulmannová, n.d.) given by:  $a \oplus b$  is defined if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are orthogonal and

$$a \oplus b := 1 \setminus ((1 \setminus a) \setminus b) = 1 \setminus ((1 \setminus b) \setminus a)$$

The partial binary operation  $\oplus$  on  $P$  is commutative and associative (Hedlíková and Pulmannová, n.d.; Dvurečenskij, n.d.).

Let  $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be a finite sequence of  $P$ . According to Dvurečenskij (n.d.), recursively we define for  $n \geq 3$

$$a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n := (a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_{n-1}) \oplus a_n$$

supposing that  $a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_{n-1}$  and  $(a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_{n-1}) \oplus a_n$  exist in  $P$ . Definitionally, we put  $a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n := a_1$  if  $n = 1$ , and  $a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n := 0$  if  $n = 0$ . Then for any permutation  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  of  $(1, \dots, n)$  and any  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we have

$$a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n = a_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_{i_n},$$

$$a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n = (a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_k) \oplus (a_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_n)$$

Let  $P$  be a D-poset. We say that a finite system  $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of  $P$  is  $\oplus$ -orthogonal iff  $a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$  exists in  $P$  and write

$$a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_i$$

An arbitrary system  $G$  of  $P$  is  $\oplus$ -orthogonal if every finite subsystem  $F$  of  $G$  is  $\oplus$ -orthogonal.

*Definition 1.* Let  $P$  be a D-poset. We say that the finite subset  $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq P$  is compatible (in  $P$ ) if there exists a  $\oplus$ -orthogonal system  $G$  of elements of  $P$ ,  $G = \{g_t, t \in T\}$ , such that  $a_i = \bigoplus \{g_t; t \in T_i\}$ , where  $T_i$  is the finite subset of  $T$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

An arbitrary subset  $E \subseteq P$  is compatible (in  $P$ ) if every finite subset of  $E$  is compatible (in  $P$ ).

### 3. BOOLEAN D-POSETS

In the present section we give the sufficient condition for the compatibility of a subset of a D-poset.

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset with the smallest element 0. Let  $\ominus$  be a binary operation on  $P$  such the following conditions are satisfied for every  $a, b, c \in P$ :

- (BD1)  $a \ominus 0 = a$ .
- (BD2) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $c \ominus b \leq c \ominus a$ .
- (BD3)  $(c \ominus a) \ominus b = (c \ominus b) \ominus a$ .
- (BD4)  $b \ominus (b \ominus a) = a \ominus (a \ominus b)$ .

*Proposition 1.* (Kôpka, n.d.-b). Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset with the smallest element  $0$  and let  $\ominus$  be a binary operation on  $P$  satisfying the conditions (BD1)–(BD4). Then the following assertions are true for every  $a, b, c, d \in P$ :

- (i)  $b \ominus a \leq b$ .
- (ii)  $a \ominus a = 0$ .
- (iii) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $a \ominus b = 0$ .
- (iv)  $(c \ominus a) \ominus (c \ominus b) = (b \ominus a) \ominus (b \ominus c)$ .
- (v) If  $a \leq b \leq c$ , then  $c \ominus b \leq c \ominus a$  and  $(c \ominus a) \ominus (c \ominus b) = b \ominus a$ .
- (vi) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $b \ominus (b \ominus a) = a$ .
- (vii) If  $b \leq c$ , then  $b \ominus a \leq c \ominus a$ .
- (viii) If  $b \leq c$ , then  $(c \ominus a) \ominus (b \ominus a) = (c \ominus b) \ominus ((a \ominus b) \ominus (a \ominus c))$ .
- (ix) If  $b \ominus a = 0$  and  $a \ominus b = 0$ , then  $a = b$ .
- (x) If  $a, b \leq c$  and  $c \ominus a = b \ominus a$ , then  $c \ominus b = a \ominus b$ .
- (xi) Let  $1$  be the greatest element in  $P$ ,  $a, b, c \in P$ . If  $a \leq c$ ,  $a \leq b$ , and  $c \ominus a = b \ominus a$ , then  $b = c$ .

Proposition 1 proves that the binary operation  $\ominus$  satisfies the conditions (D1)–(D3), i.e., it is a difference on  $P$ .

*Definition 2.* Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset with the smallest element  $0$  and with the greatest element  $1$ . Let  $\ominus$  be a binary operation on  $P$  satisfying the conditions (BD1)–(BD4). The system  $(P, \leq, 0, 1, \ominus)$  is called a *Boolean D-poset*.

*Example 3.* Let the binary operation  $\ominus$  on the family of all real functions  $F$  from Example 1 be defined by the following formula:

$$(g \ominus f)(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(g(t)) - \Phi(f(t))) & \text{if } f(t) \leq g(t) \\ 0 & \text{if } f(t) > g(t) \end{cases}$$

Then  $(F, \leq, \ominus, 1, 0)$  be a Boolean D-poset.

*Example 4.* Every MV-algebra (Chang, 1959) is a Boolean D-poset.

We remark that every Boolean D-poset  $P$  is a D-poset and the binary operation  $\ominus$  on  $P$  generates the binary operation  $\dot{+}$  on  $P$  defined via  $a \dot{+} b := (a^\perp \ominus b)^\perp$ , where  $a^\perp = 1 \ominus a$  for every  $a \in P$ . The operation  $\dot{+}$  on  $P$  has the following properties:

*Proposition 2* (Kôpka, n.d.-b). Let  $(P, \leq, 0, 1, \ominus)$  be a Boolean D-poset. Then the following assertions are true for every  $a, b, c, \in P$ :

- (1)  $a \dot{+} b \geq a, b$ .
- (2)  $a \dot{+} b = b \dot{+} a$  (commutativity).

- (3)  $(a \dot{+} b) \dot{+} c = a \dot{+} (b \dot{+} c)$  (associativity).
- (4)  $a \dot{+} 0 = a$ .
- (5) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $a \oplus c \leq b \oplus c$ .
- (6)  $a \dot{+} (b \ominus a) = b \dot{+} (a \ominus b)$ .
- (7)  $(b \ominus a) \dot{+} (b \ominus (b \ominus a)) = b$ .
- (8) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $a \dot{+} (b \ominus a) = b$ .
- (9) If  $a \leq c, b \leq c \ominus a$ , then  $a \dot{+} b = c \ominus ((c \ominus a) \ominus b)$ .

*Remark 1.* Let  $P$  be a Boolean D-poset, let  $G$  be a system of elements of  $P, G = \{g_t, t \in T\}$ . Then the system  $G$  is  $\dot{+}$ -orthogonal (in the sense of D-posets) if the sums  $\dot{+}\{g_t, t \in T_1\}, \dot{+}\{g_t, t \in T_2\}$  are orthogonal, i.e.,  $\dot{+}\{g_t, t \in T_1\} \leq 1 \ominus (\dot{+}\{g_t, t \in T_2\})$ , for finite subsets  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T$ , such that  $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$ .

*Theorem 1.* Let  $(P, \leq, 0, 1, \ominus)$  be a Boolean D-poset. Then an arbitrary subset  $E$  of  $P$  is a compatible set (in  $P$ ).

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that for every finite subset  $E$  of  $P, E = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , there exists a  $\dot{+}$ -orthogonal system  $G$  of elements of  $P, G = \{g_t; t \in T\}$ , such that  $a_i = \dot{+}\{g_t; t \in T_i\}$ , where  $T_i$  is a finite subset of  $T, i = 1, \dots, n$ . The existence of the system  $G$  will be proved by mathematical induction according to the number of the elements of the set  $E$ .

1. Let  $n = 2$ , i.e.,  $E = \{a, b\}$ . Then the system

$$G = \{a \ominus b, b \ominus a, a \ominus (a \ominus b) = b \ominus (b \ominus a)\}$$

is  $\dot{+}$ -orthogonal and  $a = (a \ominus (a \ominus b)) \dot{+} (a \ominus b), b = (b \ominus (b \ominus a)) \dot{+} (b \ominus a)$ .

2. We assume that the previous assertion holds for every subset  $E$  of  $P$  containing  $n - 1$  elements, i.e., if  $E = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$  then there exists a  $\dot{+}$ -orthogonal system  $G$  of elements of  $P, G = \{g_t, t \in T\}$ , such that  $a_i = \dot{+}\{g_t, t \in T_i\}$ , where  $T_i$  is a finite subset of  $T, i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

Without loss of generality we assume that

$$G = \left\{ g_t, t \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i \right\} = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$$

Let now  $E = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a\}$ . We put

$$b_0 = a$$

$$b_i = b_{i-1} \ominus g_i \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, k$$

It is evident that  $b_{i-1} \geq b_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Now we construct the system of elements of  $P$  in the following way:

$$c_i = b_{i-1} \ominus b_i \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, k$$

$$c_{k+1} = b_k$$

By the properties of the binary operation  $\ominus$  we have

$$c_1 = b_0 \ominus b_1 = a \ominus (a \ominus g_1) = g_1 \ominus (g_1 \ominus a) \leq g_1$$

$$c_2 = b_1 \ominus b_2 = (a \ominus g_1) \ominus ((a \ominus g_1) \ominus g_2)$$

$$= g_2 \ominus (g_2 \ominus (a \ominus g_1)) \leq g_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$c_k = b_{k-1} \ominus b_k = ((a \ominus g_1) \ominus \dots \ominus g_{k-1})$$

$$\ominus (((a \ominus g_1) \ominus \dots \ominus g_{k-1}) \ominus g_k)$$

$$= g_k \ominus (g_k \ominus ((a \ominus g_1) \ominus \dots \ominus g_{k-1})) \leq g_k$$

$$c_{k+1} = b_k = (a \ominus g_1) \ominus \dots \ominus g_k$$

Then the system  $\{g_1 \ominus c_1, \dots, g_k \ominus c_k, c_1, \dots, c_k, c_{k+1}\}$  is  $\dot{+}$ -orthogonal,  $g_i = (g_i \ominus c_i) \dot{+} c_i$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and

$$c_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c_{k+1}$$

$$= (c_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c_{k-1}) \dot{+} (c_k \dot{+} c_{k+1})$$

$$= (c_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c_{k-1}) \dot{+} b_{k-1} = (c_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c_{k-2}) \dot{+} (c_{k-1} \dot{+} b_{k-1})$$

$$= (c_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c_{k-2}) \dot{+} ((b_{k-2} \ominus b_{k-1}) \dot{+} b_{k-1})$$

$$= (c_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c_{k-2}) \dot{+} b_{k-2}$$

$$\dots = (a \ominus b_1) \dot{+} b_1 = a \quad \blacksquare$$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is supported by grant G-229/94 of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia.

## REFERENCES

Bush, P., Lahti, P. J., and Mittelstaedt, P. (1991). *The Quantum Theory of Measurement*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- Chang, C. C. (1959). Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **88**, 467–490.
- Chovanec, F. (1993). Compatibility problem in quasi-orthocomplemented posets, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **43**(1), 89–103.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (n.d.). Tensor product of difference posets, to appear.
- Dvurečenskij, A., and Chovanec, F. (1988). Fuzzy quantum spaces and compatibility, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **27**, 1069–1082.
- Foulis, D. J., Greechie, R. J., and Rüttimann, G. T. (1992). Filters and supports in orthoalgebras, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **31**, 787–807.
- Hedlíková, J., and Pulmannová, S. (n.d.). Generalized difference posets and orthoalgebras, submitted.
- Kôpka, F. (1992). D-posets of fuzzy sets, *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications*, **1**, 83–89.
- Kôpka, F. (n.d.-a). Compatibility in D-posets of fuzzy sets, *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications*, to appear.
- Kôpka, F. (n.d.-b). Compatible sets in D-posets, submitted.
- Kôpka, F., and Chovanec, F. (1994). D-posets, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **44**(1), 21–34.
- Neubrunn, T., and Pulmannová, S. (1983). On compatibility in quantum logics, *Acta Mathematica Universitas Comeniana*, **XLII–XLIII**, 153–168.
- Pták, P., and Pulmannová, S. (1991). Orthomodular structures as quantum logics, VEDA, Bratislava, and Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Randall, C., and Foulis, D. (1981). Empirical logic and tensor products, in *Interpretations and Foundations of Quantum Theory*, Vol. 5, H. Neumann, ed., Wissenschaftsverlag, Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim, pp. 9–20.
- Riečan, B. (1988). A new approach to some notions of statistical quantum mechanics, *BUSEFAL* **35**, 4–6.